



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/039,583

12/31/2001

Kelan C. Silvester

P13478

4016

8791

7590

09/10/2004

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

LE, DANH C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/039,583

Applicant(s)

SILVESTER, KELAN C.

Examiner

DANH C LE

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haymes (2001/0016489 A1).**

As to claim 1, Haymes teaches a system comprising (figure 2):

a cell phone (250) to provide a wireless connection;

a locator to indicate an approximate location of the cell phone (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system); and

a directional indicator (paragraph 17, errors generator 320 and paragraph 5) coupled to the cell phone to guide a user along a route that reduces a probability of losing the wireless connection.

As to claim 2, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the locator includes a global positioning system (paragraph 0022).

As to claim 3, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the indicator includes an audio or video output device (paragraph 0036).

As to claim 4, Haymes teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the indicator includes a map of the route (paragraph 0036).

As to claim 5, Haymes inherently teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising an antenna to receive guidance information used to guide the user along the route (figure 1, 120 with antenna symbol).

As to claim 6, Haymes the system of claim 5, wherein the guidance information includes an approximate location of a cell tower (paragraph 23, since the system controller 310 responds and informs the user of a best route and/or channels and for continual mobile service and or of areas along the route where communication coverage is questionable, the system inherently teaches an approximate location of the base station or a cell tower).

As to claim 7, Haymes the system of claim 6, further comprising a processor to compare the approximate location of the cell tower to the approximate location of the cell phone to determine the route (paragraph 5 and 36, the mobile device compares its position and a data base to avoid the dead zones in the trip).

As to claim 8, Haymes teaches a method comprising (figure 2):
providing a cell phone with a locator to indicate an approximate location of the cell phone (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system); and
enabling the cell phone to provide directions to guide a user along a route that improves wireless signal strength (paragraph 36).

As to claim 9, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein enabling the cell phone to provide directions includes providing the cell phone with a display to indicate the route (paragraph 21).

As to claim 10, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein enabling the cell phone to provide directions includes providing the cell phone with an audio or video output device to indicate a location of a nearest cell tower (paragraph 36, since the mobile device knew the dead zone in the trip route and changed the route having a reduced area of dead zone, the mobile should know a location of a nearest cell tower or base station).

As to claim 11, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, wherein providing the cell phone with the locator includes providing the cell phone with a global positioning system (paragraph 16, receive data from GPS system).

As to claim 12, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising enabling the cell phone to receive guidance information via an antenna and to use the guidance information to guide the user along the route (figure 1, 102, antenna symbol).

As to claim 13, Haymes teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the guidance information includes an approximate location of a cell tower (paragraph 23, since the system controller 310 responds and informs the user of a best route and/or channels and for continual mobile service and or of areas along the route where communication coverage is questionable, the system inherently teaches an approximate location of the base station or a cell tower).

As to claim 14, Haymes teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising enabling the cell phone to predict an initial route of the user and to redirect the user from the initial route to the route that improves wireless signal strength (paragraph 36).

As to claim 15, Haymes inherently teaches a system comprising:

a processor (error generators);

an antenna to provide a wireless connection (figure 1, 120, antenna symbol), and

a memory region including instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to guide a user along a route that reduces a probability of losing the wireless connection (paragraph 35, a subset of data base resides on mobile device for manipulation and retrieval).

As to claim 16, Haymes teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising an audio or video output device and instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to guide the user along the route by providing directional indications to the user via the output device (paragraph 21, 36).

As to claim 17, Haymes teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to compare an approximate location of a cell tower to an approximate location of the system to determine the route (paragraph 5 and 36, the mobile device compares its position and a data base to avoid the dead zones in the trip).

As to claim 18, Haymes teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising instructions that, if executed by the processor, cause the system to predict an initial

Art Unit: 2683

route of the user and to redirect the user from the initial route to the route that reduces the probability of losing the wireless connection (paragraph 0036).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A. Cotanis (US 2002/0042269 A1) teaches system and method for determining signal coverage.

B. Bann (US 2002/0049064 A1) mobile telephone, mobile telephone system, and base station used therein.

C. Schipper et al (US 6,038,444) method and apparatus for advising cellphone users of possible action to avoid dropped calls.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Danh', is written over a horizontal line.

August 21, 2004

DANH CONG LE
PATENT EXAMINER